



## RATIONAL ACADEMY OF UNANIMOUSLY AGREEABLE & CONSTITUTIONAL GOVERNANCE

### सर्वग्राह्य साम्बिधानिक शासन औ तार्किक दर्शन परिषड

Date: April 16, 2019

To: Honorable President, Prime Minister, Supreme Court of India

Cc: Honorable President of Rashtriya Swayamsevak Sangh (RSS) and all Concerned citizens.

Sub: Rational, Unanimously Agreeable and Constitutional Basis of Communalism or Divisiveness.

Indian Opposition Parties have continually accused Bharatiya Janata Party and RSS of communalism or divisiveness. Mr. Naveen Patnaik, Chief Minister of Odisha, has recently reiterated such accusation.

Can divisiveness be defined rationally, unanimously agreeably and constitutionally? Yes.

First, any unanimously agreeable and rational basis of divisiveness must have a corollary rational and unanimously agreeable basis of unity.

Second, a unanimously agreeable and rational basis (of divisiveness or unity) must transcend religion, caste, race or geographical origin of people.

The only rational basis of unity is Unanimously Agreeable and Constitutional Governance (UACG) under which no one including government is allowed to usurp others' wealth, dignity or life, even surreptitiously. UACG is truly unanimously agreeable because robbers as well as the robbed will never agree to be robbed, even surreptitiously. UACG is constitutional because preamble of modern constitution proscribes robbery of others' (including society's) wealth, dignity or life, even surreptitiously. UACG is akin to Dharma, underlying Mahabharat war, which was scripted 5000 years ago in India, when organized religions did not exist.

UACG is the only rational, unanimously agreeable and constitutional basis of unity. As a corollary, the only rational, unanimously agreeable and constitutional basis of divisiveness or communalism is opposition to UACG.

For example, those who have militarily raided the humanity's sole Academy of Rational Philosophy for UACG in January 2011 are unequivocally communal or divisive: They include India's Sonia Gandhi Administration including Cabinet Minister P. Chidambaram and Economic Adviser Raghu Rajan and Odisha's Naveen Patnaik Administration who must have jointly ordered the CBI, CRPF and Odisha Police to raid ARP-UACG.

Mr. Naveen Patnaik has now openly blamed the BJP and RSS as communal or divisive. He has not said so to the Congress Party or other opposition parties. This means Mr. Naveen Patnaik has been inherently aligned with Opposition parties including Congress to oppose UACG, i.e., to be (rationally, constitutionally and unanimously agreeably) divisive or communal to the hilt.



## **RATIONAL ACADEMY OF UNANIMOUSLY AGREEABLE & CONSTITUTIONAL GOVERNANCE**

### **सर्वग्राह्य सांख्यिक शासन और तार्किक दर्शन परिषद्**

How have Congress and its inherent allies like BJD remained (rationally, constitutionally, and unanimously agreeably) communal and divisive – i.e., opposed to UACG - for this long, despite swearing to uphold the constitution? They have promulgated sophisticated rules/policies (like Sakuni's Pashakhela) to usurp public and private wealth, surreptitiously, with impunity. They have presumed that the public could not decipher such rules/policies. No one including Krishna in Mahabharat era could decipher Pashakhela. The current surreptitious usurpers have for a long time lured and muzzled every rising leader who dares to establish UACG and claw back the looted wealth from the looters.

Modern World Pashakhela (banking and financial market shenanigans) has been, however, deciphered threadbare since 1991 by the humanity's sole UACG author. When this author could not be lured or muted and he rather dared to selflessly spread UACG across the world, the mega robber barons engaged their agents in India (Sonia Gandhi Administration including Cabinet Minister P. Chidambaram and Economic Adviser Raghuram Rajan and Naveen Patnaik Administration) to raid the humanity's sole ARP-UACG with an obvious goal of incarcerating or encountering the sole UACG author by planting evidence in ARP-UACG.

How have leaders swearing to uphold the constitution defied UACG? For example, late Odisha CM Biju Patnaik has usurped 2.5 acres of Lingaraj Temple's property to build Naveen Nivas where the current CM Naveen Patnaik lives. Many leaders in Odisha have grabbed 1000's of acres of prime valuable public land (some of which are crucial for proper drainage and sewerage) in Bhubaneswar without any action taken by the state administration. If the unconstitutionally grabbed public wealth were clawed back with unbearable penalty (like jail), no one would ever agree to usurp public properties, even surreptitiously. UACG would then be agreeable to the current robbers.

UACG or Modern Dharma is the only unique basis of unity that transcends religion, caste, race or geographical origin of people. UACG is also necessary for efficient civilized coexistence of humanity.

If BJP and RSS are active adherents of Ancient Indian Dharma (akin to UACG), they cannot inherently oppose UACG or Modern Dharma. They cannot, therefore, be divisive or communal. Only the opponents of UACG like Congress and BJD who have acted viciously to demolish UACG and to incarcerate/encounter the sole author of UACG are (rationally, unanimously agreeably and constitutionally) communal or divisive forces who should cease to govern.

With best regards,

Dr. Sankarshan Acharya

<http://pro-prosperity.com/Sankarshan%20Acharya.html>

Director, Rational Academy of Unanimously Agreeable & Constitutional Governance